

Introductory seminar on  
 “Mathematical Population Genetics”  
 Summer term 2019

Prof. Joachim Hermisson  
 Dr. Sylvain Mousset

**List of exercises**

Exercise 1 <i>Blood types</i> . . . . .	1
Exercise 2 <i>Dynamics of phenotype frequencies under assortative mating</i> . . . . .	1
Exercise 3 <i>Dynamics of phenotype frequencies under assortative mating (continued)</i> . . . . .	2
Exercise 4 <i>Dynamics of heterozygosity under assortative mating</i> . . . . .	2
Exercise 5 <i>Dynamics of phenotype frequencies with X-linkage</i> . . . . .	2
Exercise 6 <i>Diploid selection model with multiplicative fitness</i> . . . . .	2
Exercise 7 <i>Selection at a single locus with two alleles and a recessive lethal allele</i> . . . . .	3
Exercise 8 <i>Diploid case with two alleles mutation and selection, without backward mutation</i> . . . . .	3
Exercise 9 <i>Limiting cases of the mutation-selection case with two alleles</i> . . . . .	3
Exercise 10 <i>Haldane’s Mapping Function</i> . . . . .	4
Exercise 11 <i>Recombination with additive fitness in discrete time</i> . . . . .	4
Exercise 12 <i>Covariance(genotype, fitness) governs dynamics</i> . . . . .	4
Exercise 13 <i>Homo- and heterozygosity without replacement</i> . . . . .	5

**1 Hardy Weinberg Law**

**Exercise 1 Blood types**

The *ABO* blood types can (in the simplest case) be coded by the three alleles  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $O$  at a single locus, where  $A$  and  $B$  are dominant over  $O$  (genotypes  $AO$  and  $BO$  respectively have phenotypes  $[A]$  and  $[B]$ ).

1. Denote with  $p_A$ ,  $p_B$  and  $p_O$  the frequencies of these alleles. Calculate, under the assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, the relative frequencies of the blood types  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $AB$  and  $O$  (phenotypes  $[A]$ ,  $[B]$ ,  $[AB]$ , and  $[O]$ ). Why exactly these?
2. Let  $R_A$ ,  $R_B$ ,  $R_{AB}$  and  $R_O$  denote the observed frequencies of the respective blood types within a population. From this calculate the allelic frequencies. Is it possible to do this without Hardy-Weinberg? How could one test whether the population is in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium?

**Exercise 2 Dynamics of phenotype frequencies under assortative mating**

Mating can be non-random with respect to some traits, respectively genes. We speak of assortative mating when similar individuals are more likely to mate with each other than expected by chance. Consider the following simple but instructive example. The two alleles  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  occur at a gene locus. Furthermore, the genotype  $A_1A_1$  has the same phenotype as  $A_1A_2$ , which is different from the phenotype of  $A_2A_2$ . Thus  $A_1$  is dominant. Let  $\rho$  denote the proportion of individuals that mate assortatively, *i.e.* only with individuals of the same phenotype. This proportion is assumed to be identical for both phenotypes. Denote the (ordered) genotype frequencies with  $P_{ij}$  and the allelic frequencies with  $p$  and  $q$ , ( $p + q = 1$ ).

1. The contribution of those individuals that mate randomly to the pool of individuals with genotype  $A_1A_1$ ,  $A_1A_2$  and  $A_2A_2$  in the next generation is then  $(1-\rho)p^2$ ,  $(1-\rho)2pq$  and  $(1-\rho)q^2$  respectively (why?).
2. Calculate the contribution of the assortatively mating individuals of genotype  $A_2A_2$  to the different types of offspring and also the contributions of the individuals with the dominant phenotype.
3. Show that the following recursion holds:

$$P'_{11} = (1-\rho)p^2 + \rho \frac{p^2}{1-P_{22}}$$

### Exercise 3 Dynamics of phenotype frequencies under assortative mating (continued)

See exercise 2 for details.

1. Derive also the recursion for the other two genotypes,  $P_{12}, P_{22}$ .
2. Which conclusions can you draw from this set of recursions for the allelic frequencies.
3. What recursion follow for the special cases of  $\rho = 0$  and  $\rho = 1$ ?

### Exercise 4 Dynamics of heterozygosity under assortative mating

We are considering the assortative mating case discussed in exercise 2 and 3. The quantity  $H = 2P_{12}$  is known as *heterozygosity*. ( $2P_{12}$  is the frequency of all heterozygotes, such that  $P_{11} + 2P_{12} + P_{22} = 1$ .)

1. Derive the recursion for  $H'$  (which can be expressed as a function of  $H$  and  $\rho$ ).
2. For the case  $\rho = 1$ , derive the value of  $H(t)$  in generation  $t$  given e.g.  $p(t=0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
3. What general conclusion can be derived for  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t)$  in the case of  $\rho < 1$ ?

### Exercise 5 Dynamics of phenotype frequencies with X-linkage

Consider a gene with two alleles  $A$  and  $a$ , located on the X chromosome (in mammals, females are XX while males are XY). The  $A$  allele is dominant (individuals with genotypes  $AA$  and  $Aa$  have phenotype  $[A]$  while individuals with genotype  $aa$  have phenotype  $[a]$ ). The frequencies of the  $A$  allele in males and females are respectively denoted  $p$  and  $q$ , initial frequencies are denoted  $p_0$  and  $q_0$ .

1. Express the recursion for the allele frequencies  $p'$  and  $q'$ .
2. Express the allele frequencies in males and females after  $t$  generations.
3. Express the frequency of the  $[A]$  phenotype in females and in males.
4. Does this dynamics change if the females:males ratio differs from 1:1 in the population?

## 2 Selection and mutation

### Exercise 6 Diploid selection model with multiplicative fitness

We consider an autosomal gene with  $k$  alleles with frequencies  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  in a diploid population of individuals. We denote the relative frequencies of  $A_iA_i$  homozygotes by  $P_{ii}$  and of  $A_iA_j$  heterozygotes by  $P_{ij}$  and assume full random-mating. We assume that  $k$  positive constants  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  exist such that the fitness of the  $A_iA_j$  genotype  $W_{ij} = v_i v_j$  (*multiplicative fitness*).

1. Express the mean fitness  $\bar{W}$  in the population as a function of  $\bar{v} = \sum_i p_i v_i$ .
2. Express the marginal fitness of allele  $A_i$ , and the frequency  $p'_i$  of allele  $A_i$  in the next generation.
3. What model do you recognize (discuss possible differences with the current model)?

### Exercise 7 Selection at a single locus with two alleles and a recessive lethal allele

In a randomly mating population we consider a gene with two alleles  $a$  and  $A$  where the homozygotes  $aa$  and heterozygotes  $Aa$  have the same phenotype and fitness, whereas the homozygotes  $AA$  die before the reproductive stage. The frequencies of the  $A$  and  $a$  alleles are denoted  $p$  and  $q$  ( $p + q = 1$ ).

1. Express the fitnesses  $W_{11}$ ,  $W_{12}$ , and  $W_{22}$ . What are the corresponding values for the selection coefficient  $s$  and the degree of dominance  $h$ ?
2. Express the marginal fitnesses of the  $a$  and  $A$  alleles, as well as the mean fitness of the population  $\bar{W}$ .
3. Express the frequency of the lethal  $A$  allele at the next generation.
4. Give the frequency of the  $A$  allele after  $t$  generations, as a function of  $t$  and the initial frequency of the  $A$  allele.

### Exercise 8 Diploid case with two alleles mutation and selection, without backward mutation

We consider the two-alleles case in discrete time, in a randomly mating population of diploid individuals where the fitness values of the genotypes  $aa$ ,  $Aa$  and  $AA$  are  $W_{11} = 1$ ,  $W_{12} = 1 - hs$ , and  $W_{22} = 1 - s$ , respectively (we assume  $0 < s < 1$ ). The frequencies of  $a$  and  $A$  are denoted  $q$  and  $p$ . The mutation rate from  $a$  to  $A$  (probability that an  $a$  allele mutates to an  $A$  allele in a generation) is denoted  $\mu$ , the backward mutation (from  $A$  to  $a$ ) is assumed to be zero.

1. Express the marginal fitnesses of the  $a$  and  $A$  alleles, as well as the mean fitness in the population.
2. Express the allele frequencies  $p'$  and  $q'$  at the next generation.
3. Show that the non-trivial equilibrium frequencies for the  $A$  allele,  $p_{1,2}^* \neq 1$  are solutions of a quadratic equation.
4. Express the values of the equilibrium points and study their stability in the special case when  $h = \frac{1}{2}$ .

### Exercise 9 Limiting cases of the mutation-selection case with two alleles

For the two non-trivial solutions  $p_{1,2}^*$  obtained in exercise 8 we will now investigate some limiting cases.

1. If  $h = 0$  prove

$$p_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{s}}.$$

2. If  $h \gg \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{s}}$  show

$$p_1^* \approx \frac{\mu}{hs}.$$

Remember the Taylor expansion  $\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + o(\varepsilon)$ .

3. If  $h \gg \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{s}}$  show that if  $h > \frac{1 - \frac{\mu}{s}}{1 - \mu}$  the second equilibrium  $p_2^*$  is admissible, but unstable and satisfies

$$p_2^* \approx \frac{h}{2h - 1} - \frac{\mu}{hs}.$$

The same Taylor expansion could be useful.

4. Finally prove for multiplicative selection coefficients  $W_{11} = 1$ ,  $W_{12} = 1 - t$ , and  $W_{22} = (1 - t)^2$  that one obtains exactly

$$p_1^* = \frac{\mu}{t}$$

### 3 Recombination and drift

#### Exercise 10 Haldane's Mapping Function

The recombination fraction  $r$  between two loci on the same chromosome is the probability of an odd number of recombination events between these loci. Ignoring interference between adjacent recombination events (in biological reality a recombination event reduces the probability of another recombination event in its close proximity),  $r$  relates to the genetic map distance  $d$  via *Haldane's mapping function*. Thereby  $d$  is the average number of recombination events in a given interval.

1. Derive *Haldane's mapping function*

$$r = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \exp[-2d])$$

2. Why is it useful to have a map function which converts recombination distance  $r$  into mapping distance  $d$  and vice versa? What might be easier to measure in data?
3. When could it be appropriate to use the approximation  $r = d$ ? What does that mean in the biological context?

Tips for the derivation (question 1): We denote the expected proportions of  $0, 1, \dots, k$  recombination events between two loci  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k$  and ignoring interference between recombination events we can assume that they are Poisson distributed, with  $P[k] = \frac{\lambda^k \exp[-\lambda]}{k!}$ . Then  $r$  and  $d$  can be written as functions of  $p_i$ . Show that  $d = \lambda$  and then you can use this result to derive the mapping function.

#### Exercise 11 Recombination with additive fitness in discrete time

We consider a haploid population, where at two loci,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  there segregate two alleles each, denoted by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  and  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Fitness is additive as shown in the following scheme:

	$B_1$	$B_2$
$A_1$	$a_1 + b_1$	$a_1 + b_2$
$A_2$	$a_2 + b_1$	$a_2 + b_2$

1. Write down mean fitness  $\bar{w}$  and show that it can be written as the sum of single-locus mean fitness.
2. Show that the time derivative of mean fitness does not depend on  $r$  or  $D$ . Show that mean fitness is non-decreasing (hint: use Jensen's inequality).
3. Show that all equilibria are in linkage equilibrium (LE)
4. Show that linkage equilibrium  $D = 0$  is not maintained, if the population is not at equilibrium, *e.g.* give an example.

#### Exercise 12 Covariance(genotype, fitness) governs dynamics

We consider the discrete time dynamics of a diploid population with two loci  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . The dynamics for the four haplotypes  $A_1B_1, A_1B_2, A_2B_1, A_2B_2$  (alternative notation  $ab, aB, Ab, AB$ ), with frequencies  $P_\bullet$  and marginal fitness  $\omega_\bullet$  indexed by  $\bullet = *_{11}, *_{12}, *_{21}, *_{22}$  read

$$P'_{ij} = \frac{\omega_{ij}}{\bar{\omega}} P_{ij} + \underbrace{\eta_{ij} r \frac{\omega_{A_1B_1A_2B_2}}{\bar{\omega}} D}_{\hat{D} \text{ for diploids}} \quad (7)$$

where  $\eta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , and otherwise  $-1$ .

We define a measure  $g_{ij}$  for the genotype, as the frequency of haplotype  $A_iB_j$  within a (diploid) genotype ( $A_kB_l|A_mB_n$ ). Thus  $g_{ij}$  can take only the values  $0, 0.5, 1$ . Show that we can write the change in haplotype frequency,  $\Delta P_{ij} = P'_{ij} - P_{ij}$ , in terms of the covariance between the measure of the genotype  $g_{ij}$  and fitness, *i.e.*

$$\Delta P_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}} \left( \underbrace{\text{Cov}(\omega, g_{ij})}_{=P_{ij}(\omega_{ij} - \bar{\omega})} + \eta_{ij} r \hat{D} \right) \quad (8)$$

**Hints:**

- Set  $g_{ij} = g_{11}$  for the change in  $P_{11}$  and show  $\text{cov}(\omega, g_{11}) = P_{11}(\omega_{11} - \bar{\omega})$  for this measure of genotype. This then easily generalises to arbitrary  $i, j$ .
- $g_{ij}$  is the probability to sample the given haplotype  $A_i B_j$  from a genotype (that consists of two haplotypes): it can be either 0 (the genotype does not contain the given haplotype),  $\frac{1}{2}$  (the haplotype occurs only once in the genotype) or 1 (the haplotype occurs twice in the genotype). For instance,  $g_{11}$  is the measure for the haplotype  $A_1 B_1$  and we have, (among other possible genotypes)  $g_{11}(A_1 B_2 | A_2 B_1) = 0$ ,  $g_{11}(A_1 B_1 | A_2 B_1) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $g_{11}(A_1 B_1 | A_1 B_1) = 1$ .
- Remember the definition of Covariance: If there are  $n$  possible realizations of pairs of random variables  $(X, Y)$ , namely  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with possibly unequal probabilities  $p_i$ , then the covariance of  $x$  and  $Y$  is

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \sum_i^n p_i (x_i - \mathbb{E}(X))(y_i - \mathbb{E}(Y)).$$

### Exercise 13      Homo- and heterozygosity without replacement

In the lecture we have defined homozygosity  $F_t$  and heterozygosity  $H_t$  as the probability that two randomly haploid alleles (single chromosomes with diploid individuals) are identical or different by descent, respectively. Sampling occurs with replacement, such that a chosen allele, can be sampled twice with rat  $\frac{1}{2N}$ .

Let us now consider the case, where sampling occurs without replacement in a population of size  $2N$  with  $k$  alleles. Derive the formulas for  $F_t$  and  $H_t$  as expressions of the allele frequencies, as well as the recursion equations. What can be said about the long term evolution of these measures in comparison when sampling occurs with replacement?